Toxicants

Ecological risks in Western Port and surrounding catchments

Jackie Myers, Kathryn Hassell and Vin Pettigrove Aquatic Pollution Prevention Research Group RMIT University







Research Priorities

Research completed to date addresses several priorities identified in the Western Port review (Keough et al. 2011) under the *Toxicant* theme:

- RP 36: Initial estimate of risk from toxicants
- RP 37: Impacts of toxicants on vegetation
- RP38: Investigate toxicant effects (and climate change) on fish





What are toxicants?

"Chemical pollutant that can have toxic effects on biota"¹





Initial estimate of sediment toxicants



Report available on Melbourne Water Website

Identified toxicant "hotspots"



Matomuou or Area	Toxicants of concern				
Waterway or Area	Pesticides	Metals & Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons			
Western Contour Drain	Simazine, prometryn, linuron, metolachlor, boscalid, oxadixyl,				
Watsons Creek estuary	azoxystrobin, cyprodinil Prometryn, linuron, metolachlor, boscalid, pp-DDE, pp-DDT, pirimicarb, fenamiphos				
Sawtells Creek estuary	Simazine, diuron, pp-DDE, bifenthrin	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons			
Deep Creek estuary	pp-DDE, pp-DDT, pirimicarb, simazine, triadimenol	Aluminium			
Cardinia Creek estuary		Mercury, cobalt, nickel, zinc and copper			
Warrangine Creek		Mercury, cobalt, arsenic, copper, lead, nickel, zinc			
Heavy Boat use sites (Hastings and Warneet)		Tributyltin, dibutyltin			

Monitoring & evaluating risks to key habitats

- Frequent and widespread occurrence of pesticides
- 43 pesticides detected
- Storm events increase the risk of pesticide exposure
- Fungicides the highest number of different compounds
- Herbicides the highest detection frequency and concentrations







Herbicide risks to marine plants





10 13 12



- Pesticides pose a low-moderate risk to flora communities
- Seagrasses particularly sensitive at ≤ environmental concentrations and < TVs
- Mangroves orders of magnitude less sensitive than seagrasses
- Mangrove seedlings most sensitive life stage
- Environmental concentrations pose a risk to early plant establishment
- Risk greatest to aquatic plants in the upper estuarine and freshwater areas of the catchments



Pesticide Sourcing and biological impacts





- Pesticides primarily associated with intensive agricultural activities, particularly market gardens
- Detected more frequently and at highest concentrations in mid lower reaches of catchments
- Wet weather events are associated with increased frequency and elevated concentrations

Pesticide Sourcing and biological impacts





Impacts to invertebrate survival, microalgae growth and functional stream health was greatest in mid to lower reaches of catchments

Correlated with elevated levels of nutrients, pesticides and poor water quality.

Fish health assessment 2013-2016

- Fish from all sites displayed changes in condition indicating environmental stress
- No strong and consistent results indicating pollution effects
- No signs of endocrine disruption
- Biological measures indicated differences in energy allocations
- Potential concern was occurrence of precancerous and cancerous lesions in livers
- Lack of site specific impacts









Summary of pesticide data 2012-2016

- A total of 64 different pesticides have been detected
 - 55 in surface waters
 - 20 in sediments
- Commonly occur in complex mixtures 2 to 22 different pesticides
- 9 pesticides have exceeded TVs, however majority have NO TV
- Concentrations occur at levels that could pose risk to flora and fauna



Summary of surface water pesticides 2012-2016

Pesticide	Group	Detects waters (%)	Maximum Concentration Detected (μg/L)			Trigger Values (μg/L)			Maximum concentration
			Fresh	Estuarine	Marine	Fresh ¹ 95%	Marine ¹ 95%	Marine ² 95%	in Passive samplers (μg/disk)
Simazine	Н	64	0.71	0.33	0.1	3.2	3.2*	0.2*	4.7
Prometryn	Н	64	7.6	2.6	0.12	-	-	-	23
Metolachlor	Н	64	3.1	2	0.19	0.02*	0.02*	-	11
Diuron	Н	54	1.1	0.38	0.08	0.2*	1.6*	1.6	1.6
Iprodione	F	51	2.6	0.17	-	-	-	-	6.9
Metalaxyl	F	49	0.78	0.41	0.03	-	-	-	3.1
Boscalid	F	44	3.3	0.74	0.02	-	-	-	15
Linuron	Н	41	1.5	0.88	-			-	0.66
Fenamiphos	I	39	2	0.85	0.08	-	-	-	1.3
Atrazine	Н	36	4.8	0.02	0.02	13	13*	1.4	3.8
Dimethoate	I	15	2.8	0.17	-	0.15	0.15*	-	0.39
Diazinon	I	14	0.05	0.03	-	0.01	0.01*	-	4.6
Chlorpyrifos	I	5	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.009	-	0.34
p,p-DDE	I	3	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.03*	0.0005*	-	-
Dieldrin	I	1	0.06	-	-	0.01*	0.01*	-	0.04



Summary of sediment pesticides 2012-2016

			Maximum	Trigger Values		
Pesticide	Group	Detects (%)	concentration detected (µg/kg)	ISQG-low	ISQG-high	
2,4-D	Н	58	48	-	-	
azoxystrobin	F	37	1	-	-	
boscalid	F	37	22	-	-	
fenamiphos	Ι	34.8	21	-	-	
p,p'-DDE normalised	Ι	18.2	2.6	1.4	7	
prometryn	Н	17.4	15	-	-	
p,p'-DDT normalised	Ι	13.6	2.7	1.2	5	
Flubendamide	Ι	13	5.7	-	-	
metolachlor	Н	10.9	10	-	-	
Methabenzthiazuron	Н	8	18	-	-	
simazine	Н	6.5	5	-	-	
Bifenthrin	Ι	4.5	5	-	-	
linuron	Н	4.3	2	-	-	
pirimicarb	Ι	4.3	1	-	-	
diuron	Н	2.2	28	-	-	
oxadixyl	F	2.2	5	-	-	
pyrimethanil	F	2.2	3	-	-	
myclobutanil	F	2.2	2	-	-	
triadimenol	F	2.2	2	-	-	
cyprodinil	F	2.2	5	-	-	



Key Findings to Date

- Levels of heavy metals, hydrocarbons and organotins present a low risk
- Pesticide concentrations are of concern and pose a moderate risk to flora and fauna
- Storm events increase the risk of pesticide exposure, increased rainfall links with increased pesticide occurrence and concentrations
- Herbicides and fungicides are most frequently detected pesticides and occur at highest concentrations.
- Environmental concentrations of herbicides pose risk of toxicity for seagrasses and mangrove seedlings
- Pesticides are primarily associated with agricultural land use and are causing biological impacts
- No site-specific impacts apparent in fish, although fish show signs of general stress



Future directions and opportunities

Toxicants:

5.1 Assess occurrence of pesticides in surface waters and sediments within additional sub-catchments.

5.2 Investigate pesticide effects on key fauna and flora of Western Port with a view to developing Western Port specific toxicant guidelines.

5.3 Assessment of risks from new and emerging contaminants: Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PCPPs).

5.4 Investigate the role of farming practices on the transport of pesticides to Western Port Waterways.

Fish:

5.5 Fish surveys to be conducted more broadly throughout Western Port and additional external reference sites.



5.6 Investigate health of freshwater and estuarine fish

5.7 Understand the connectivity of individuals and population structure of Smooth Toadfish throughout the bay



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding:

This research has been funded by Melbourne Water

Co-Authors:

Simon Sharp, Rhys Coleman, Michael Keough, Cathy Cinque, Chris O'Neill, David Sharley, Hung Vu

Field and laboratory assistance:

Mayumi Allinson Sara Long Mike Williams Sherrie Chambers Jaclyn Harris Tom Hurst Andre Limsowtin Liz Mc Grath **Richard Emlet Rod Watson** Kallie Townsend Nicholas Craig Daniel MacMahon Rachael bathgate Kylie Myers **Erin Cummings**

Yaringa Boat Harbour (Robert Kelly) Mornington Peninsula Youth Enterprises: Bob Couchman







Hydrodynamic modelling







- Pesticide inputs from Watsons
 Creek and Western Contour
 Drain are likely to be localised
- Vegetation within 5km of estuary mouths may be impacted dependant on extent of mixing and initial concentrations of inflows.